

M. Valdivia has observed that there is an error in the above paper, namely, the spaces M_γ do not have the property

$$(*) \quad \text{if } x \in M_\gamma, x \neq 0, \text{ then } f(x) = \gamma,$$

which is implicitly used in the proof of Lemma 3.

Nevertheless, the main result of the paper is true. We can modify the definition of the spaces M_γ so that $(*)$ will hold. All we need is to replace Lemma 1 by the following lemma, which is proved in a similar manner as Lemma 1:

LEMMA 1a. *Let $H = \bigoplus_{k < \omega} H_k$ be the Hilbert direct sum of infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces. There exists a Baire dense subspace M of H such that for every $x \in M$, $x \neq 0$, infinitely many projections of x on the space H_k do not vanish.*

With this lemma, we construct M_γ as follows: put, for $1 \leq k < \omega$,

$$C_k = \{\alpha_n : n = 2^k(2r+1), r < \omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_k = \{x \in H_\gamma : x_\alpha = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \notin C_k\}.$$

Since every L_k is infinite dimensional and separable, there exists a Baire dense subspace M_γ of H_γ such that, if $x \in M_\gamma$ and $x = \sum x_k \neq 0$ where $x_k \in L_k$ for $k < \omega$, then infinitely many x_k do not vanish. This implies easily that $f(x) = \gamma$.